

Jesus, *the* Mediator of the New Covenant



SABBATH AFTERNOON

Read for This Week's Study: *Heb. 7:11–19; Heb. 8:10–12; Jer. 31:31–34; Heb. 8:1–6; Exod. 24:1–8; Ezek. 36:26, 27.*

Memory Text: “But as it is, Christ has obtained a ministry that is as much more excellent than the old as the covenant he mediates is better, since it is enacted on better promises” (*Hebrews 8:6, ESV*).

By living a perfect life, and then by dying in our place, Jesus mediated a new, better covenant between us and God. Through His death, Jesus canceled the penalty of death that our trespasses demanded and made possible the new covenant.

This truth is explained in Hebrews 10:5–10, which identifies Jesus as having manifested the perfect obedience required by the covenant. It references Psalm 40, referring to the Messiah's desire to render to God total obedience: “Behold, I have come; in the scroll of the book it is written of me: I delight to do your will, O my God; your law is within my heart” (*Ps. 40:7, 8, ESV*). “In the original context this phrase [‘to do your will’] described moral obedience to the will of God. The author of Hebrews uses the phrase to show that the sacrifice of Christ fulfilled the will of God in providing an acceptable atonement, which the animal sacrifices had not provided.”—*The SDA Bible Commentary*, vol. 7, p. 460.

For Paul, this psalm acquired special significance with the incarnation of Jesus. Jesus embodied the obedience of the new covenant. He is our Example. We have been saved, not only because of His death but also because of His perfect obedience.

* Study this week's lesson to prepare for Sabbath, February 19.

The Need of a New Covenant

Read Hebrews 7:11–19. Why was a new covenant needed?

According to Hebrews, the fact that Jesus was appointed Priest according to the order of Melchizedek implied that a new covenant had been inaugurated. The old covenant had been given on the basis of the Levitical priesthood (*Heb. 7:11, NASB*). The Levitical priests acted as mediators between God and Israel, and the law excluded anyone else from the priesthood. The author concludes, then, that a change of priesthood implies a change of the law of the priesthood, as well as the change of the covenant (*Heb. 7:12, 18, 19*).

The issue with the old covenant was that it could not provide perfection (*Heb. 7:11*). Paul is talking about the Levitical priesthood and its ministry (sacrifices, feasts, etc.). The animal sacrifices offered through them could not provide true, total cleansing from sin, or access to God (*Heb. 10:1–4; Heb. 9:13, 14; Heb. 10:19–23*).

The fact that a new covenant was necessary does not mean that God was unfair with Israel when He gave them the old covenant. The Levitical ministry and the services of the tabernacle were designed to protect them from idolatry and also to point them to Jesus' future ministry. Hebrews stresses that the sacrifices were "a shadow of the good things to come" (*Heb. 10:1, NKJV*).

By pointing them to Jesus, the sacrifices should have helped the people put their hope and faith in "the lamb of God who takes away the sin of the world" (*John 1:29, NKJV; compare with Isaiah 53*). This is the same point that Paul makes when he says that the law was "our tutor to lead us to Christ, so that we may be justified by faith" (*Gal. 3:24, NASB*) or that "Christ is the culmination of the law so that there may be righteousness for everyone who believes" (*Rom. 10:4, NIV*).

In other words, even the Ten Commandments, as good and perfect as they are, cannot provide salvation (*Rom. 3:20–28, Rom. 7:12–14*). They provide a perfect standard of righteousness, but they do not provide righteousness, any more than looking in a mirror can erase the wrinkles of age. For perfect righteousness, we need Jesus as our Substitute.

Why can't the law save us? After all, if we keep all the commandments, and keep them well—even flawlessly—why shouldn't that save us?

New and Renewed

Compare Hebrews 8:10–12 with Deuteronomy 6:4–6, Deuteronomy 30:11–14, and Jeremiah 31:31–34. What does this teach us about the nature of the new covenant?

The promise of a new covenant in Hebrews refers back to Jeremiah. According to Jeremiah, God’s promise of a new covenant was, in fact, a renewal of the covenant that He had first made with Israel through Moses (*Jer. 31:31–34*). It could be argued, then, that Jeremiah 31 was not strictly speaking of a “new” covenant but of a “renewal” of the original covenant with Israel. In fact, the Hebrew word for new, *hadashah*, can have both the sense of “renew” and “brand new.”

The issue with the old covenant was that the people broke it (*Heb. 8:8, 9*). The covenant was not faulty; the people were. If Israel had seen through the symbols to the coming Messiah and put their faith in Him, the covenant would not have been broken. Yet, to be fair, there were many believers throughout Israelite history in whom the purposes of the covenant were fulfilled and who had the law in their hearts (*Ps. 37:31, Ps. 40:8, Ps. 119:11, Isa. 51:7*).

While the new covenant is a renewal of the old covenant, there is a sense in which it is, indeed, new. Jeremiah’s promise of a “new covenant” did not simply envision a renewal of the conditions that existed before the exile, which had been broken and renewed several times because the nation had lapsed several times into apostasy. And that’s because the people were simply unwilling to keep up their end of the covenant with God (*Jer. 13:23*).

Instead, God promised to do a “new thing” (*Jer. 31:22*). The covenant would not be like the covenant that God had made “with their fathers” (*Jer. 31:32*). Because of the unfaithfulness of the people, the promises that God made under the Mosaic covenant were never fulfilled. Now, in virtue of the guarantee given by the Son (*Heb. 7:22*), God would fulfill the purposes of His covenant. God did not change His law or lower His standards; instead, He sent His Son as a guarantee of the covenant promises (*Heb. 7:22, Heb. 6:18–20*). This is why this covenant does not have curses. It has only blessings because Jesus fulfilled it perfectly, becoming a curse for us (*Gal. 3:13*).

Read 2 Timothy 2:13. What can we learn from God’s faithfulness to His people and to His plans as we consider our relationships with others and our plans?

The New Covenant Has a Better Mediator

Read Hebrews 8:1–6. Why is Jesus a better Mediator of the covenant?

The Greek term *mesitēs* (mediator) derives from *mesos* (“middle”) and denotes the one who walks or stands in the middle. It was a technical term that referred to a person who fulfilled one or more of the following functions: (1) an arbiter between two or more parties, (2) a negotiator or business broker, (3) a witness in the legal sense of the word, or (4) one who stands as a surety and, thus, guarantees the execution of an agreement.

The English term “mediator” is too narrow a translation for *mesitēs* in Hebrews because it focuses only on the first two or three uses of the Greek term. Hebrews, however, emphasizes the fourth function. Jesus is not conceived of as “mediator” in the sense that He settles a dispute between the Father and humans or as a peacemaker who reconciles parties in disaffection or as a witness who certifies the existence of a contract or its satisfaction. Instead, as Hebrews explains, Jesus is the *Guarantor* (or *Surety*) of the new covenant (*Heb. 7:22*). In Hebrews, the term “mediator” is equivalent to “guarantor.” He guarantees that the covenant promises will be fulfilled.

Christ’s death makes the institution of the new covenant possible because it satisfies the claims of the first covenant with Israel (and even with the first humans in Eden), which had been broken (*Heb. 9:15–22*). In this sense, Jesus is the Guarantor who took upon Himself all the legal obligations of the covenant that had been broken. In another sense, Jesus’ exaltation in heaven guarantees that God’s promises to human beings will be fulfilled (*Heb. 6:19, 20*). Jesus guarantees the covenant because He has shown that God’s promises are true. By resurrecting Jesus and seating Him at His right hand, the Father has shown that He will resurrect us and also bring us to Him.

Jesus is a greater Mediator than Moses because He ministers in the heavenly sanctuary and has offered Himself as a perfect sacrifice for us (*Heb. 8:1–5, Heb. 10:5–10*). Moses’ face reflected the glory of God (*Exod. 34:29–35*), but Jesus is the glory of God (*Heb. 1:3, John 1:14*). Moses spoke with God face to face (*Exod. 33:11*), but Jesus is God’s Word personified (*Heb. 4:12, 13; John 1:1–3, 14*).

Yes, Christ has satisfied the demands of the covenant for obedience. In this light, what is the role of obedience in our life, and why is it still so important?

The New Covenant Has Better Promises

We may be tempted to think that the new covenant has “better promises” in the sense that it has greater rewards than the old covenant had (a heavenly homeland, eternal life, etc.). The truth is that God offered the same rewards to Old Testament believers as He has offered us (*read Heb. 11:10, 13–16*). In Hebrews 8:6, the “better promises” refer to *different* kinds of promises.

The covenant between God and Israel was a formal exchange of promises between God and Israel. God took the initiative and delivered Israel from Egypt and promised to lead them into the Promised Land.

Compare Exodus 24:1–8 and Hebrews 10:5–10. What are the similarities and differences between these two promises?

The covenant between God and Israel was ratified with blood. This blood was sprinkled both over and beneath the altar. The people of Israel promised to obey all that the Lord had spoken.

“The condition of eternal life is now just what it always has been,—just what it was in Paradise before the fall of our first parents,—perfect obedience to the law of God, perfect righteousness. If eternal life were granted on any condition short of this, then the happiness of the whole universe would be imperiled. The way would be open for sin, with all its train of woe and misery, to be immortalized.”—Ellen G. White, *Steps to Christ*, p. 62.

God satisfies the absolute demands of the new covenant for us because He gave His own Son to come and live a perfect life so that the promises of the covenant might be fulfilled in Him, and then offered to us, by faith in Jesus. Jesus’ obedience guarantees the covenant promises (*Heb. 7:22*). It first requires that God give Him the blessings of the covenant, which are then given to us. Indeed, those who are “in Christ” will enjoy those promises with Him. Second, God gives us His Holy Spirit to empower us to fulfill His law.

Christ has satisfied the demands of the covenant; therefore, the fulfillment of God’s promises to us is not in doubt. How does this help you understand the meaning of 2 Corinthians 1:20–22? What wonderful hope is found here for us?

The New Covenant Has Solved the Problem of the Heart

Compare the new covenant promises of Jeremiah 31:33 and Ezekiel 36:26, 27. How are they related?

The first covenant document was written by God on tablets of stone and was deposited in the ark of the covenant as an important witness of God's covenant with His people (*Exod. 31:18, Deut. 10:1–4*). Documents written in stone, however, could be broken; and scrolls, as Jeremiah had experienced, could be cut up and burned (*Jer. 36:23*).

But in the new covenant God now will write His law in the hearts of the people. The heart refers to the mind, the organ of memory and understanding (*Jer. 3:15, Deut. 29:4*), and especially to the place where conscious decisions are made (*Jer. 3:10, Jer. 29:13*).

This promise did not simply secure access to and knowledge of the law for everyone. It also, and more important, was to bring about a change in the heart of the nation. The problem of Israel was that their sin was engraved “with a pen of iron . . . with a point of diamond . . . on the tablet of their heart” (*Jer. 17:1, NKJV*). They had a stubborn heart (*Jer. 13:10, Jer. 23:17*); therefore, it was impossible for them to do the right thing (*Jer. 13:23*).

Jeremiah did not announce a change of the law, because the problem of Israel was not the law but the heart. God wanted Israel's faithfulness to be a grateful response to what He had done for them; thus, He gave the Ten Commandments to them with a historical prologue, expressing His love and care for them (*Exod. 20:1, 2*). God wanted Israel to obey His laws as an acknowledgment that He wanted the best for them, a truth revealed in their great deliverance from Egypt. Their obedience was to be an expression of gratitude, a manifestation of the reality of their relationship.

The same is true today for us. Jesus' love and care in dying for us is the prologue of the new covenant (*Luke 22:20*). True obedience comes from the heart as an expression of love (*Matt. 22:34–40*). This love is the distinguishing mark of the presence of the Holy Spirit in the life of the believer. God pours His love on us through His Spirit (*Rom. 5:5*), the reception of whom is expressed by love (*Gal. 5:22*).

If ancient Israel was to love God, even without the understanding of Christ's death, why shouldn't we love God even more than they did? How does obedience make manifest the reality of that love?

Further Thought: “If our hearts are renewed in the likeness of God, if the divine love is implanted in the soul, will not the law of God be carried out in the life? When the principle of love is implanted in the heart, when man is renewed after the image of Him that created him, the new-covenant promise is fulfilled, ‘I will put My laws into their hearts, and in their minds will I write them.’ Hebrews 10:16. And if the law is written in the heart, will it not shape the life? Obedience—the service and allegiance of love—is the true sign of discipleship. Thus the Scripture says, ‘This is the love of God, that we keep His commandments.’ ‘He that saith, I know Him, and keepeth not His commandments, is a liar, and the truth is not in him.’ 1 John 5:3; 2:4. Instead of releasing man from obedience, it is faith, and faith only, that makes us partakers of the grace of Christ, which enables us to render obedience. . . .

“The closer you come to Jesus, the more faulty you will appear in your own eyes; for your vision will be clearer, and your imperfections will be seen in broad and distinct contrast to His perfect nature. This is evidence that Satan’s delusions have lost their power; that the vivifying influence of the Spirit of God is arousing you.

“No deep-seated love for Jesus can dwell in the heart that does not realize its own sinfulness. The soul that is transformed by the grace of Christ will admire His divine character; but if we do not see our own moral deformity, it is unmistakable evidence that we have not had a view of the beauty and excellence of Christ.”—Ellen G. White, *Steps to Christ*, pp. 60, 64, 65.

Discussion Questions:

- 1 Think about the statements of Ellen G. White above. What does the fact that the closer we come to Christ the more sinful we will appear in our own eyes tell us about how we must not let the realization of our own defects cause us to give up faith in despair?
- 2 Dwell more on the idea that the law is being written in our hearts. What does that mean for the spiritual life of a Christian? How could understanding and experiencing this truth help us avoid the kind of “obedience” that is really only legalism, obedience that has been called “dead works” (*Heb. 9:14*)?

Sabbath or Scholarship?

By ANDREW MCCHESENEY

It was an offer the Seventh-day Adventist girl could not refuse: a full scholarship to study at a private non-Christian school.

Malevelev grew up in an Adventist home and had been taught to remember God in all her decisions. She planned to enter Taiwan Adventist Academy when she finished sixth grade. Then recruiters from a private school showed up in her village and offered her a full scholarship.

Tuition was high at Taiwan Adventist Academy, and it would be difficult for her parents to afford it. They asked the girl to go to the private school.

During summer vacation, Malevelev visited the school and saw she would be required to attend classes on Sabbath. She wouldn't be able to go to church to worship God. A conflict erupted within her: Should she accept the scholarship or keep the Sabbath? She knew she should choose the Sabbath.

At the end of the summer, Malevelev's parents insisted she go to the private school. The girl felt dreadfully discouraged, and she prayed fervently.

"God, help me," she prayed. "Show me what to do."

Taking courage, she spoke kindly but firmly to her parents. "I want to go to Taiwan Adventist Academy," she said. "I will not go to classes on Sabbath because I want to go to church."

She explained her desire to remain faithful to God by keeping all ten commandments, including the fourth, "Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy" (*Exodus 20:8, NKJV*).

"I don't want to betray the truth taught by my grandfather," she said.

Seeing the girl's determination, her parents allowed her to study at the Adventist academy. Malevelev has studied for five years at the school and is in the 11th grade. "I have wonderful teachers and classmates, and we are like a big family," she said. "I have made many Christian friends. We have morning and evening worship together, we pray together, and we study together. I cherish every moment at the school."

Malevelev is hopeful for the future. "I know God will lead me and fulfill His wonderful plan for me," she said. "When you need to make an important decision, believe in God and choose according to His will and pleasure. I encourage myself with [Ecclesiastes 12:1] all the time. Now I am sharing my secret with you, and may God bless you."



This mission story illustrates the following components of the Seventh-day Adventist Church's "I Will Go" strategic plan: Spiritual Growth Objective Number 7, "To help youth and young adults place God first and exemplify a biblical worldview." Learn more about the strategic plan at IWillGo2020.org.

Part I: Overview

Key Texts: *Heb. 7:11–19; Heb. 8:10–12; Jer. 31:31–34; Heb. 8:1–6; Exod. 24:1–8; Ezek. 36:26, 27.*

Lesson Themes: The old covenant was given on the basis of the Levitical priesthood. As part of this agreement, the Levites alone acted as mediators between God and the Israelites. The book of Hebrews, however, talks about how Jesus has been appointed High Priest, according to the order of Melchizedek. Furthermore, Paul recalls the fact that Jesus is not from the tribe of Levi (*Heb. 7:14*). Rather, He is from the tribe of Judah. Thus, according to the laws of the Levitical priesthood, He was not eligible to serve as a priest. Yet, He was appointed High Priest by God Himself: “‘You are a priest forever’ ” (*Heb. 7:21, NRSV*).

One might legitimately inquire how someone from the tribe of Judah could become priest, given the Levitical restrictions. Only Levites were supposed to serve at the temple. Logically, a change would need to take place first. Paul makes the point that such a change in the priesthood would necessitate a corresponding change in the laws of the priesthood (*Heb. 7:12*). The change in the laws of the priesthood, in turn, would lead to a change of the covenant. The first covenant was with the Levites and the second with Christ. Why the complete change? The lesson makes the point clear that the old covenant could not cleanse the conscience from sins (*Heb. 10:4; Heb. 9:14*), which cleansing is the righteousness of Christ given to us. Those animal sacrifices pointed forward to Christ, the true “‘Lamb of God who takes away the sin of the world!’ ” (*John 1:29, NRSV*). With this new covenant also comes not just an Arbiter, a Negotiator, or a Witness, but a Guarantor who assures that the covenant promises will be fulfilled. Further, in this new covenant, the laws will be internalized within people as God writes “them on their hearts” (*Heb. 8:10, NRSV*).

Part II: Commentary

The Superiority of Melchizedek: Several times in Hebrews (*Heb. 5:6, 10; Heb. 6:20*), Christ is portrayed as a priest according to the order of Melchizedek. In Hebrews 7, Paul dedicates some time to this priestly Melchizedek for the purpose of tracing the nature of Christ’s priesthood. At the same time, he establishes that Christ’s priesthood is superior to the Levitical priesthood.

There are only two references in the Old Testament to Melchizedek: Genesis 14:18 and Psalm 110:4. Hebrews summarizes the Genesis account and establishes that Melchizedek is a priest (*Heb. 7:1*), that he is similar to Christ (*Heb. 7:3*), and that he is superior to Abraham (*Heb. 7:4*). The Genesis narrative describes the first war recorded in the Bible, showcasing Abraham as he pursues the four invading kings who took his nephew Lot captive. After liberating the captives, Abraham returns home. On the way, Melchizedek, the king-priest of Salem (Jerusalem), meets Abraham with bread and wine, a detail that is missing in the account of Hebrews. The former blesses the latter, and the latter returns tithes to the former (*Heb. 7:1, 2*). What then makes Melchizedek superior to the Levitical priesthood? Three things, as we shall see.

First, Melchizedek is “without father, without mother, without genealogy, having neither beginning of days nor end of life” (*Heb. 7:3, NRSV*). In the Graeco-Roman world, to be without a father meant to be an illegitimate child. To be without a mother meant that the child was from a woman of low social status. In the Jewish world, however, to be without genealogy meant that the person could not qualify for the Levitical priesthood. Was Melchizedek a divine figure, as some people have concluded? No; he appears suddenly on the scene, in Genesis 14, and disappears just as quickly again—but without any mention of his family background. Because the Genesis record does not tell of his father, mother, or genealogy, Paul employs Melchizedek as a perfect example for the eternal nature of Christ. This is supported by the statement “having neither beginning of days nor end of life, but resembling the Son of God, he remains a priest forever” (*Heb. 7:3, NRSV*).

Furthermore, the Levites are again compared with Melchizedek, in Hebrews 7:8. “In the one case” mortal Levites are taking tithes from their fellow Israelites, but “in the other,” meaning in the case of Melchizedek, tithes are received by “one of whom it is testified that he lives” (*Heb. 7:8, NRSV*). Here, the mortality of the Levites is contrasted with the absence of a recorded death of Melchizedek in Genesis 14.

Did Melchizedek never die? No, but because his death is not recorded in Scripture, Paul sees in him a perfect example for Christ’s eternity. Such absence was a principle used by ancient writers. The silence of Scripture on a given point is taken as evidence that something did not exist. Melchizedek enters the narrative without ancestry and exits without an account of his death, which points typologically to the One who is eternal. Because Melchizedek points to eternity and the Levites were finite, Melchizedek is superior to them.

Second, Melchizedek is superior to the Levites because he blessed Abraham, the patriarch, who is described as the one who received the promise (*Heb. 6:13, Heb. 7:6*). Thus, “it is beyond dispute that the inferior [Abraham] is blessed by the superior [Melchizedek]” (*Heb. 7:7, NRSV*). Not only is Melchizedek superior to the Levites because of his continuous

priesthood—but he also is superior because he blessed Abraham.

Third, Melchizedek is superior to the Levites because “even Abraham the patriarch gave him a tenth of the spoils” (*Heb. 7:4, NRSV*). The great-grandson Levi and his descendants basically returned tithes through Abraham to this non-Levitical priest of God, Melchizedek (*Heb. 7:9, 10*). A lack of Levitical genealogy does not prevent Melchizedek from receiving tithes from Abraham. In the same way, a lack of Levitical genealogy cannot prevent Jesus from serving as Priest. The Levites were commanded by the law to receive tithes from their fellow Israelites and, in turn, to return tithes from the tithes received (*Num. 18:21–26*). This tradition is something Paul recounts (*Heb. 7:5*). The logic of his argument is obvious. Melchizedek is greater than Abraham; consequently, he must be greater than Levi. By extension, the priesthood of Melchizedek is greater than that of the Levitical priesthood. If that is true, Christ’s priesthood is superior to that of any human priests in the earthly tabernacle or temple. Hence, He is called a Priest “forever, according to the order of Melchizedek” (*Heb. 7:17, NRSV*).

In summary, Melchizedek is superior to the Levites because of his continuous priesthood. He blessed Abraham, the ancestor of the Levites, and the Levites returned tithes to Melchizedek through Abraham.

The Superiority of Christ’s Priesthood: Based on what was just said, Christ’s priesthood is superior to the Levitical priesthood for several reasons.

First, Christ became a priest by “the power of an indestructible life” and by God’s appointment, as witnessed to by Psalm 110:4; it was not through physical descent based on Aaronic legal requirements (*Heb. 7:16, 17, NRSV; see Exodus 29*). Christ’s priesthood is intimately connected to who He is. Yes, Christ died, but He was resurrected (*Heb. 13:20*). He was “exalted above the heavens” (*Heb. 7:26, NRSV*) and is now seated “at the right hand of the throne of the Majesty in the heavens” (*Heb. 8:1, NRSV*), where He “is able for all time to save those who approach God through him” (*Heb. 7:25, NRSV*).

Moreover, the Levitical priests were appointed on a hereditary basis. None enjoyed the priesthood in perpetuity, “because they were prevented by death from continuing in office” (*Heb. 7:23, NRSV*). By contrast, Christ “holds his priesthood permanently, because he continues forever” (*Heb. 7:24, NRSV*) and “he always lives to make intercession for them” (*Heb. 7:25, NRSV*). Christ is described by Hebrews as Someone who “continues forever,” “is able for all time to save,” and “always lives” (*Heb. 7:24, 25, NRSV*). Simply put, Christ is superior to the Levitical priesthood because He has immortality in comparison to their transience.

Second, Christ was confirmed as a priest by God through an oath: “The Lord has sworn and will not change his mind, ‘You are a priest forever’ ” (Heb. 7:21, *NRSV*). Oaths are solemn promises, often evoking a divine Witness. Because God could not swear by a greater divine power when He promised Abraham descendants, “he swore by himself, saying, ‘I will surely bless you and multiply you’ ” (Heb. 6:13, 14, *NRSV*). To the Exodus generation, God swore, “ ‘They will not enter my rest’ ” (Heb. 3:11, *NRSV*). When God swears an oath, He will faithfully execute it. That is why Jesus has “become the guarantee of a better covenant” (Heb. 7:22). The Levites, on the other hand, were inaugurated into the priesthood by divine command (*Exod. 28:1*), not by an oath. Thus, Christ is superior to them.

Finally, Christ is superior to the Levitical priesthood because He is morally perfect. The priests of Aaron’s line sacrificed daily, although ultimately ineffectively (*Heb. 10:1–4*). They offered sacrifice first for their own sins before they offered sacrifice for others. By contrast, Christ offered Himself as a sinless sacrifice once for all (*Heb. 7:27*). Such a Priest is appropriate for us, for He is “holy, blameless, undefiled, separated from sinners, and exalted above the heavens” (*Heb. 7:26, NRSV*). While these terms are virtually synonymous, they nonetheless have slightly different nuances. Christ was morally separated, innocent, and unstained by sin. Such attributes make Christ superior to the Aaronic line of priests (*see Acts 2:27, Heb. 4:15*).

In summary, Christ is better than the Levites, because He is immortal, was confirmed by divine oath, and is morally perfect.

Part III: Life Application

Think of how Christ is compared to Melchizedek in Hebrews 7. Melchizedek is thought of as both king of Salem and priest of the Most High God (*Heb. 7:1*).

1. Why do you think Melchizedek is portrayed as having a double role? Compare his duality of roles to that of Christ, who is, first, a Priest, according to the order of Melchizedek, but, second, a member of the royal tribe of Judah.
2. What is the tribe of Judah primarily associated with (*see Gen. 49:10*)? How does Christ fulfill both roles?
3. How would you view sin if every time you transgressed, it would cost you a lamb or a bull, depending on your social status (maybe a bike or a car in today’s terms)?

Notes
